

## 2. The Mongoloid myth

As a species, we have always been obsessed with how we look and in which ways we appear to be similar or different from one another. The ancient Hindu caste system and the apartheid system of South Africa were just two of many systems based on our perceptions of caste, tribe and race. Even before the Portuguese first set foot in Japan in 1542, Europeans were trying to come to grips with the human phenotypical diversity which they observed in the peoples whom they met on their voyages across the seas. Today we understand that in scientific terms, there is actually no such thing as race (Cavalli-Sforza *et al.* 1994). We are all members of one large human family. The relationship between genes, their phenotypical expression and their pleiotropic interplay is inordinately complex, and our individual differences often tend to be larger than the differences between groups.

Historically, long before the discovery of the molecular mechanisms underlying genetics, scholars resorted to superficial classifications in their attempts to understand human diversity. Classification was conducted on the basis of somatology, which involved crude observations about external appearance. In 1758, in the famous tenth edition of his *Systema Naturæ*, Carl Linnæus distinguished between four geographical subspecies of *Homo sapiens*, i.e. *europaeus*, *afer*, *asiaticus* and *americanus*. Later, Johann Friedrich Blumenbach, in a dissertation which he defended at Göttingen in 1775, distinguished between what he imagined were five human races, namely the ‘white’ *Caucasiae*, the ‘yellow’ *Mongolicae*, the ‘black’ *Aethiopicae*, the ‘red’ *Americanae* and the ‘brown’ *Malaicae* (1776 [1795]: xxiii, xxiv). With his coinages, Blumenbach single-handedly invented the ‘Mongoloid’ and ‘Caucasoid’ races. With regard to his *Varietas Caucasia*, Blumenbach opined:

The name of this variety is taken from the Caucasus mountains, as well as, indeed, most of the southern flank thereof, in the Georgian area, where the most beautiful race of men is to be found and in whom all the physiological reasons converge so that it may be presumed that the first human beings are likely to have been native to this region.

(1795/1776: 303)<sup>1</sup>

Later, Johann Christian Erxleben recognised four of the same races as Blumenbach but under different names, with his *Homo sapiens europaeus*, *asiaticus*, *afer* and *americanus* (1777: 1, 2) corresponding

to Blumenbach's *Varietas Caucasia*, *Mongolica*, *Aethiopica* and *Americana* (1795 [1776]: 304, 307, 310, 319) respectively. As opposed to Blumenbach's *Varietas Malaica*, Erxleben distinguished no separate Malay race, but he made finer distinctions in northern Asia, distinguishing a more northerly *Homo sapiens tatarus* from the Chinese phenotype, which he termed *Homo sapiens asiaticus*, and he grouped Lapps, Samoyeds and other Uralic peoples under a distinct heading named *Homo sapiens lappo*. In France in 1801, Julien-Joseph Virey basically followed Blumenbach in recognising five races, but he outdid Erxleben in his attempts further to subclassify within these races.<sup>2</sup>

Taking his inspiration from Blumenbach, the German scholar Christoph Meiners (1747–1810), on the basis of the descriptions in Dutch and Russian accounts of the peoples encountered in other parts of the world, set up a classification of races based on what he imagined where the *uralte Stammvölker* or racial prototypes of mankind. His cogitations were published posthumously in three volumes. In the second volume, *der alte Mongolische Stamm* or 'the Mongoloid race' was designated by Meiners as one of the main races of mankind. He wrote:

In physiognomy and physique, the Mongol diverges as much from the usual form as does the Negro. If any nation merits being recognised as a racial prototype, then it should rightfully be the Mongol, who differs so markedly from all other Asian peoples in his physical and moral nature.

(1813, 2: 61)<sup>3</sup>

Meiners described the cruelty of the invading hordes led by Genghis Khan as being inherent to the 'moral nature' of the Mongoloid race, conveniently overlooking the historically well-documented cruelties of Western and other peoples. The serendipity of the nomenclatural choices made by Blumenbach (1795 [1776]) and Meiners (1813) gave rise to the Mongoloid myth. If the Mongols were the primordial tribe from which all peoples of the Mongoloid race descended, then it was logical to think that the homeland of all Mongoloids lay in Mongolia.

Jean Baptiste Bory de Saint-Vincent (1825: 323–325) subsequently introduced the term *Homo sapiens sinicus* for the Chinese, who he thought distinct from proper Mongoloids, but the 'Chinese race' would later vanish from subsequent classificatory schemes because the Chinese came to be seen by such early physical anthropologists as a

mixture of the Northeast Asian ‘Tungids’ and the ‘Palaeomongoloids’ of the Himalayas and Southeast Asia.<sup>4</sup>

I have often been told by people in Nepal and northeastern India that their ancestors came from Mongolia. Some even adorn their lorries, cars and motorcycles with captions like ‘Mongol’ or ‘Mongolian’. When I ask them why they think so, they tell me that they are members of the Mongoloid race or मंगोल जाति *Mangol jāti*, which, as the name tells us, must have originated in Mongolia. I do not have the heart to tell them that the very idea was dreamt up by a German scholar in Göttingen in the early 1770s, who was just imaginatively trying to make sense of human diversity, though he had no expertise or specialist knowledge to do so.

People in the West suffer from the same obsolete ideas. A friend of mine from Abkhazia, who happens to be a renowned linguist, was travelling in the United States of America with a colleague of his from the Republic of Georgia. Whilst driving a rented car, they were pulled over by a police officer. The obese and heavily armed man in uniform demanded to see my friend’s driving licence and then asked them, ‘Are you folks Arabs?’ The policeman spoke with a heavy American accent and pronounced the word Arabs as [‘eɪræ:bz]. Since Abkhazia and Georgia both lie in the Caucasus, my friend responded, ‘No, Sir, we are both Caucasians’. This response somehow displeased the police officer, who asserted, ‘I am a Caucasian!’. My friend coolly responded, ‘No, Sir, you are not a Caucasian, and you do not look particularly like a Caucasian. We are Caucasians.’ The exasperated policeman spluttered, ‘... but . . . but I am white!’

In the aftermath, my friend had to explain to the American policeman where the Caucasus Mountains lay and who the Caucasians were. However, he did not go as far as to explain that the idea that Europeans were purportedly Caucasian originated with Blumenbach in the early 1770s. Like the Mongoloid, the Caucasoid was another one of his racial prototypes. Americans who apply for a driving licence, take a Scholastic Aptitude Test or fill in any number of other official forms are often asked to specify their race. A person of European ancestry often checks a box saying that he or she is a ‘Caucasian’. Some people from Asia and Africa are baffled by these racial questions and by the choices of race on offer, which differ from one form to another, and then end up having to decide whether they are ‘coloured’ or belong to some other ‘race’. Although the topic of race is taboo in America, American society is both riddled with antique modes of thinking about race and very much in denial about widely held racist assumptions.